

1 ASIM M. BHANSALI (SBN 194925)
2 abhansali@kblfirm.com
3 KWUN BHANSALI LAZARUS LLP
4 555 Montgomery St., Suite 750
5 San Francisco, CA 94111
6 Telephone: (415) 630-2350

7
8 Attorneys for Non-Party
9 Google, LLC

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

EPIC GAMES, INC.,
Plaintiff, Counter-defendant,
vs.
APPLE INC.,
Defendant, Counterclaimant.

Case No. 4:20-CV-05640-YGR-TSH
**DECLARATION OF ANDREW ROPE
IN SUPPORT OF SEALING PORTIONS
PROPOSED TRIAL TESTIMONY**
The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
Trial: May 3, 2021

1 I, Andrew Rope, declare as follows:

2 1. I am currently a Senior Legal Project Manager for non-party Google, LLC. I have
3 been employed by Google since February 2018 and have held my current position since July
4 2019. Over the course of my employment at Google, I have acquired personal knowledge of
5 Google's practices and procedures concerning the maintenance of the confidentiality of its
6 strategic, business, and marketing information.

7 2. I submit this declaration in support of sealing portions of certain trial testimony
8 that is the subject of Motions to Seal filed by Apple Inc. and Epic Games Inc., ECF 489, 491,
9 505, 509. This testimony reveals confidential information produced by Google pursuant to a
10 third-party subpoena.

11 3. The contents of this declaration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge,
12 information and belief, and are based on my personal knowledge of Google's policies and
13 practices as they relate to the treatment of confidential information, the materials that were
14 provided to me and reviewed by me, or conversations with other knowledgeable employees of
15 Google. If called upon as a witness in this action, I could and would testify competently thereto.

16 4. Google follows a strict practice that requires confidential treatment of all internal
17 business analyses of consumer spending and revenue, market conditions and opportunities, future
18 strategic business plans, security risks, and potential growth opportunities. In my experience and
19 to the best of my knowledge, Google does not disclose internal documents of this nature outside
20 of the company.

21 5. The disclosure of Google's confidential internal business analyses, revenue
22 breakdowns, and security processes could significantly harm Google's relationships and ability to
23 conduct business with counterparties and prospective counterparties, and could place it at a
24 disadvantage with competitors who could use Google's confidential analyses to their advantage in
25 competition with Google. Public disclosure could further enable malefactors to create or exploit
26 security risks on consumer devices. These materials therefore have economic value from not
27 being generally known to Google's competitors, counterparties, or the general public.

28

1 6. To the best of my knowledge, the following information in the trial testimony
2 proposed by Apple and Epic, which reveals confidential materials Google produced in response
3 to a third-party subpoena, contain sensitive and confidential Google information that Google has
4 both good cause and compelling reasons to seal. Google has separately moved to seal the
5 underlying documents that it produced which contain the confidential information at issue in the
6 trial testimony. ECF 506, 507.

Specific Proposed Trial Testimony

Written Direct Testimony of Dr. David S. Evans, ¶ 88

(Exhibit A)

10 7. This testimony contains and reflects confidential Google surveys and analyses of
11 consumer information and preferences. If revealed to competitors, they could selectively cite to
12 the findings to disadvantage Google in marketing, and they could take advantage of the costly and
13 detailed internal Google analyses to tailor their own product design and marketing in ways that
14 further disadvantage Google. I have identified and proposed narrow and specific limited
15 redactions only as to those portions of the testimony that contain such sensitive and confidential
16 information, reflected by a red box in Exhibit A.

Written Direct Testimony of Lorin M. Hitt, Ph.D., ¶¶ 184, 209-11, 213 and Figure 46

(EXHIBIT B)

19 8. The testimony in ¶ 184 and Figure 46 contains non-public data on business
20 revenues, including detailed non-public breakdowns of revenue based on internal classifications.
21 If revealed to competitors and potential business counter-parties, they could use this non-public
22 and confidential information to disadvantage Google in marketing and in negotiations. The
23 testimony in ¶¶ 209-11 and 213 contains and reflects confidential Google surveys and analyses of
24 consumer information and preferences. If revealed to competitors, they could selectively cite to
25 the findings to disadvantage Google in marketing, and they could take advantage of the costly and
26 detailed internal Google analyses to tailor their own product design and marketing in ways that
27 further disadvantage Google. I have identified and proposed narrow and specific limited

1 redactions only as to those portions of the testimony that contain such sensitive and confidential
2 information, reflected by a red box in Exhibit B.

Written Direct Testimony of Francine Lafontaine, Ph.D., ¶ 100

(EXHIBIT C)

5 9. This testimony contains non-public data on business revenues, including detailed
6 non-public breakdowns of revenue based on internal classifications. If revealed to competitors
7 and potential business counter-parties, they could use this non-public and confidential
8 information to disadvantage Google in marketing and in negotiations. I have identified and
9 proposed narrow and specific limited redactions only as to those portions of the testimony that
10 contain such sensitive and confidential information, reflected by a red box in Exhibit C.

Written Direct Testimony of Aviel D. Rubin, Ph.D., ¶ 57

(EXHIBIT D)

13 10. This testimony contains non-public information on Google’s procedures for
14 security reviews of apps submitted to the Google Play Store. Public disclosure could enable
15 malefactors to create or exploit security risks on consumer devices. I have identified and
16 proposed narrow and specific limited redactions only as to those portions of the testimony that
17 contain such sensitive and confidential information, reflected by a red box in Exhibit D.

18 11. To my knowledge, the confidential information discussed above is not publicly
19 known, and Google recognizes and protects the enormous value of this information through its
20 various policies and procedures designed to protect confidential information from disclosure.

21 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed
22 this declaration on April 29, 2021, in Aptos, California.

/s/ Andrew Rope
Andrew Rope

111

111

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5-1(i)(3), I, Asim Bhansali, attest that I obtained the concurrence of Andrew Rope in the filing of this document. I hereby attest that I have on file all holographic signatures corresponding to any signatures indicated by a conformed signature (/S/)within this e-filed document.